



EXHIBIT 184
REDACTED




[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]


[Redacted]




[Redacted]


[Redacted]






--





[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]


WPATH Executive Committee Agenda
Wednesday, September 1, 2021
9:00am ET

1. Welcome and Call for New Items
2. Minutes from August 18, 2021 – *attached* – [REDACTED] **ACTION**
3. Dr. Rachel Levine Meeting Update – [REDACTED]
4. SOC8 Update – [REDACTED] All
 - a. Discuss traffic management document
 - b. Timeline
 - c. Strategic Staff Update
 - d. Legal Review – discuss where this will go (ideas; ACLU, TLDEF, Lambda Legal, [REDACTED])
 - e. Cosponsoring/Endorsing Organizations – *attached* – (draft list attached for input)
 - f. Public Comment Process (website, eblast to all in database, other ideas?)
5. Gavi Ansari Complaint – Next Steps – *attached* – for discussion
 - a. Since he is unwilling to list names, we cannot check member status, suggestion: compose letter to AusPATH leadership and send letter to WPATH members living in Australia.
6. Montreal 2022 – Contract Update – *attachment pending return from hotel.*




[Redacted]

[Redacted]



[Redacted]

[Redacted]



[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[REDACTED]

--


[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



--



Re: Medical Necessity Statement (SOC8)

From:
To:
Cc:



Date: Wed, 05 Jan 2022 06:48:30 -0500

Hi [REDACTED]

I am pleased to hear the medical necessity statement is being updated (as the 2016 sounds a bit outdated and some of the language is now obsolete...) and I am happy to help with this too, and ideally tweak it, so it applies globally rather than specifically to the US.

Also, I am happy to publish this medical necessity statement separately in IJTH - that will take only a few weeks and then it can be added to the SOC8 as an appendix, together with other important (published statements), such as the gender identity recognition statement, etcetera.

I am just throwing this out here, so that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] can decide, whether they want to incorporate these WPATH statements in the SOC8.

With warm wishes,

[REDACTED]



On 2022-01-05 01:30, [REDACTED] wrote:

I think Population Estimates is fine.


I would not use the word "trends" as forms of that word are used by anti-trans forces to discredit gender as a "trend."

█ the co-editors, █ and I have discussed having a medical necessity statement in the introduction. A comprehensive list of procedures that may be medically necessary as treatments for gender dysphoria is important for access to care in the US-- WPATH SOC 7 and the 2016 Medical Necessity position statement are used frequently in independent medical reviews of insurance denials, and in state regulation of insurance. We have worked on an updated version of this statement, and should make sure it is adequate for the purposes of supporting care coverage.

Thanks █ and █ for your hard work!

Best,

█




On Tue, Jan 4, 2022 at 5:04 PM █ wrote:
this chapter is not about population health.

it is about estimates.

On Tue, Jan 4, 2022 at 6:55 PM █ wrote:
How about Population Health Trends?

Sent from my iPhone


On Jan 4, 2022, at 11:19 AM, █ wrote:
Population Health Statistics? - Just an idea





On Tue, 4 Jan 2022 at 14:06, [REDACTED] wrote:

I should add that i am now in a School of 'Population Health', which until 12 months ago was a School of 'Public Health'.



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, January 4, 2022 21:55
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] SOC 8 Chapter Leads
Subject: Re: Chapter Names and Order

Hmm... I wonder what one could name the chapter instead. The earlier suggestion 'Population Health Research' won't cut it, I am afraid. It doesn't really address what the chapter is about. 'Population Health Research' is a near synonym for 'Public Health Research', but this chapter is exclusively about numbers. Estimated numbers.


From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, January 4, 2022 20:59
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] SOC 8 Chapter Leads
Subject: Re: Chapter Names and Order

Hello [REDACTED]

I do agree in very many cases. However, I do a fair bit of forensic and governmental work and unfortunately they are not known for understanding nuance (or indeed science!). I worry using *estimates* will be a bit like the theory of evolution being considered to be 'just a theory' and so dismissed. Judges and law-makers will dismiss *estimates* in a way in which they will not *research*, even though we understand that - while there are common understandings of these words - the scientific meanings of these words are more nuanced.

My hope with these SoC is that they land in such a way as to have serious effect in the law and policy settings that have affected us so much recently; even if the wording isn't quite correct for people who have the background you and I have.

Warm Regards,




On Tue, 4 Jan 2022 at 03:05, [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi [REDACTED].

Not sure about that [REDACTED] I would say that the best of our research has only 'determin(ed) roughly the size, extent.. ' of the trans population.

[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 3, 2022 21:16
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] SOC 8 Chapter Leads
Subject: Re: Chapter Names and Order

Are they estimates?


Merriam-Webster gives:

Full Definition of estimate (Entry 1 of 2)
transitive verb

1a: to judge tentatively or approximately the value, worth, or significance of
b: to determine roughly the size, extent, or nature of
c: to produce a statement of the approximate cost of

It seems they are more robust than that.

Warm Regards,



On Tue, 21 Dec 2021 at 00:58, [REDACTED] wrote:
This was nicely brought to our attention and I personally like population estimates



On Mon, Dec 20, 2021 at 6:24 PM [REDACTED] wrote:



More specifically, 'Population Estimates'



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, December 21, 2021 1:36

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Chapter Names and Order

[REDACTED] I appreciate and support your suggestion.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On Mon, Dec 20, 2021, 8:37 AM [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] wrote:

I wonder about *Epidemiology* as a name?


I know it can relate to other health factors, but is often understood to relate to disease specifically - for example



The BMJ: <https://www.bmj.com/about-bmj/resources-readers/publications/epidemiology-uninitiated/1-what-epidemiology>

The WHO: <https://www.who.int/publications/journals/weekly-epidemiological-record>

That's not a great look for WPATH - Perhaps we could use something like *Population Health Research* instead?

Warm Regards,





On Fri, 17 Dec 2021 at 14:59, [REDACTED] wrote:

|

We have drafted the names of the chapters and put them in sections.

Each chapter will have a heading of Standards of Care for Transgender and Gender Diverse Individuals - and then the shortened chapter title.

There are a few chapters that we will be discussing changing the names.

If you have some feedback on this - please send.

Thanks again for all your hard work!

■


--

X







| --



| --









[Redacted]


[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]









[Redacted]


[Redacted]






[Redacted]

[Redacted]



[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Re: Request to help disseminate report critiquing science behind Texas and Alabama measures to criminalizing care

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Date: Wed, 18 May 2022 00:58:31 -0400

Hi [REDACTED], this was approved. There may have been a delay in communicating this due to our busy staff. You may certainly list us as an endorsement.

Best,

[REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 9:10 AM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Request to help disseminate report critiquing science behind Texas and Alabama measures to criminalizing care

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.


Hello Everyone,

Thank you all for your support of our dissemination efforts.

We did spend time reflecting on how to discuss the issue of age for genital surgery as we understand and agree with the need to tailor to the individual. After consultation with those involved in the Alabama lawsuit, the consensus appeared to be that quoting the standards of care and remaining as close to this as possible would be most helpful for the case at this time.

It sounded like the report was being shared with USPATH board for their approval prior to any steps being taken. Is there an update? If there are any questions we can address and/or other ways that we can be helpful please let me know and I can discuss with the team.

Best,
[REDACTED]



Subject: RE: Request to help disseminate report critiquing science behind Texas and Alabama measures to criminalizing care




Dear [REDACTED] – I agree with your comments, and we can certainly pass this along to the USPATH Board for their OK to support and disseminate. I will work with you offline to get this out to the board for an electronic approval to support and disseminate.

Dear [REDACTED] – Further to your previous communications with [REDACTED] WPATH's Executive Committee has met today and is happy to endorse your Report. We do want to make a caveat though, which is that although the responses are correct from an academic point of view, from a clinical point of view gender affirming surgeries (genital and otherwise) are currently taking place for TGD people under the age of 18 years tailored to the needs of the individual (in the US, Europe, and elsewhere). We believe it is important to stress this reality. Often, real-life clinical practice precedes the publication of evidence-based guidelines and research data.

Once we have the approval vote from USPATH Board, we can send via eblast to our database and post on the website.

Best Regards



[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, May 4, 2022 12:54 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Request to help disseminate report critiquing science behind Texas and Alabama measures to criminalizing care

Hi [REDACTED] thanks for reaching out and for sharing this great effort with us, and thanks [REDACTED] for the connection. [REDACTED] nice to meet you and we are so grateful to have Adm. Levine's support on this matter.

I'd also like to share the recent position statement on this matter released last week by USPATH, which includes line-by-line rebuttals to the Florida DOH action.

<https://www.wpath.org/media/cms/Documents/USPATH/2022/With%20Date%20Position%20Statement%20Anti%20Trans%20Leg%20USPATH%20Apr%202022%202022.pdf?t=1650665621>

USPATH process for endorsement usually involves review by the full Board. I am in support of endorsing and will pass that recommendation along to the rest of our Board. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], can you please weigh in.

Relatedly, [REDACTED] I will be reaching out to you directly in the coming days to discuss a USPATH initiative in this area currently under development.

Best,

[REDACTED]


Sent: Monday, May 2, 2022 1:12 PM

To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Request to help disseminate report critiquing science behind Texas and Alabama measures to criminalizing care

This Message Is From an External Sender
This message came from outside your organization.

Dear [REDACTED]
thank you for reaching out and thank you for sharing the Report, which is most helpful and clearly points out the arguments as to why gender affirming care is vital to the lives of TGD people, young and older.
I am certainly more than happy to endorse this report on behalf of WPATH and we shall formally discuss this at the Executive Committee on Wednesday and get back to you and your co-authors ASAP.
Furthermore, I had meetings today with [REDACTED] and her staff at HSS and with [REDACTED]. I think it is important that we all work together to counteract those who oppose equality and evidence-based care for TGD people. It will be a tough fight, but we must fight relentlessly and aggressively to ensure equal access to care for all.
Hence, I would like to introduce you to [REDACTED], who is a member of [REDACTED] staff and may be able to help you forward with your report.
I am also copying [REDACTED] into this email, who is [REDACTED].
I am sure [REDACTED] will contact you separately, but I have no doubt USPATH will be highly supportive of your report too.
With warm wishes, and thank you again,
[REDACTED]



On 2022-05-02 18:41, [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello [REDACTED]

I hope you both are doing well. I recently collaborated with a group of colleagues from Yale University on the following report, which was released today:

Biased Science: The Texas and Alabama Measures Criminalizing Medical Treatment for Transgender Children and Adolescents Rely on Inaccurate and Misleading Scientific Claims

<https://medicine.yale.edu/childstudy/policy/lgbtq-youth/>

-

<https://law.yale.edu/yls-today/news/new-report-refutes-flawed-science-texas-and-alabama-transgender-legal-actions>

I'm wondering if WPATH and/or USPATH could help disseminate the report and/or provide some form of endorsement? Any other ideas for dissemination are also welcome!

- Best,

[Redacted]

-
-
-
-

[Redacted]

SOC 8

From: Joshua Safer <jsafer@██████████>
To: marcibdoc@██████████, walterbouman
<walterbouman@██████████>, vtangpi@██████████
Date: Thu, 12 Aug 2021 12:27:39 -0400

Hi Walter, Marci, Vin, and ██████████

I just got off a very productive call with Rachel Levine. The failure of WPATH to be ready with SOC 8 is proving a barrier to optimal policy progress and she was eager to learn when SOC 8 might be published.

My view is that this should be taken as a charge from the United States government to do what is required to complete the project immediately.

I am happy to have a quick call to discuss nuance if that would be helpful. And she would be happy to speak as well if that might move things along.

Josh

RE: Update & further steps

From: lorenschechter [REDACTED]
To: "joz.motmans" [REDACTED] <joz.motmans [REDACTED] Non Binary SOC 8 <nonbinarysoc8@wpath.org>
Cc: walter.bouman [REDACTED], [REDACTED] jeremi.carswell [REDACTED]
rdehrbar [REDACTED] lakuper [REDACTED] lseal [REDACTED] t.steensma [REDACTED]
laura [REDACTED] drbenvincent [REDACTED]
Date: Thu, 02 Sep 2021 20:39:38 -0400

Hello friends-

The chapter is excellent. I have two general comments:

1. I am concerned about language such as "insufficient evidence," "limited data," etc...I say this from the perspective of current legal challenges in the US. Groups in the US are trying to claim that gender-affirming interventions are experimental and should only be performed under research protocols (this is based on two recent federal cases in which I am an expert witness). In addition, these groups already assert that research in this field is low quality (ie small series, retrospective, no controls, etc...). My specific concern is that this type of language (insufficient evidence, limited data, etc...) will empower these groups and reinforce their erroneous assertions
2. I am wondering if we should be less specific about listing particular procedures. My concern is that if we try to list every procedure, we will inevitably either leave some procedures out (whether inadvertently or as a result of future technical innovations, evolution of thought, etc...). Once again, thinking within the framework of the current US legal system, if we leave a procedure out, that may allow insurance companies to deny coverage (ie...this procedure isn't listed in SOC 8).

Just my thoughts...outstanding work from the group!

loren

From: Motmans Joz <Joz.Motmans [REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, September 2, 2021 12:20 PM
To: nonbinarysoc8@wpath.org
Cc: walter.bouman [REDACTED]; Motmans Joz [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Jeremi.Carswell [REDACTED] rdehrbar [REDACTED]
lakuper [REDACTED] Loren Schechter, M.D. <[REDACTED]>; lseal [REDACTED]
t.steensma [REDACTED]; Laura [REDACTED] Ben Vincent [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Update & further steps

Dear fellow authors,

This email is a last reminder for the deadline of tomorrow – reason why we used all emails beside the nonbinary group mail – as we hope you receive this well.

Many of you have already contributed to the online V2 text, thank you so much, we think it's getting great. Please note that **tomorrow at 7 pm CEST** we'll take the document down, so we can finalize the editing, insert all references in the requested style etc. before sending it in to the SOC8 leaders. If there are no objections in the document for the suggestions made, we'll accept all changes as they are.

We hope this second draft of our chapter will pass without much further work, we expect feedback from the SOC8 leaders real soon, and the final deadline will be 17/9 as you can see here in the time tracking sheet. Almost there!

Kind regards
Joz & Walter

Prof. Dr. JOZ MOTMANS (hij/hem)
Coördinator
Transgender Infopunt



Van: Motmans Joz
Verzonden: donderdag 26 augustus 2021 22:41
Aan: nonbinarysoc8@wpath.org
Onderwerp: Update & further steps

Dear SOC8 GNB group,

We had a short meeting tonight to discuss the way forward, given the strict deadline next week (**Sept 3rd**). All in all it is not that much work, but we do need you.

To keep track of our work we have:


1. made a folder in our google drive with the comments we received on the previous version (V1): <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1MYs0qhXlrjZq7a3l-0N8uKbY3J5uJu4o?usp=sharing>

2. Made a folder with the feedback from the SOC8 chairs and our clean as well original reworked document:
<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1FbMaI2Vf7Hm1gibNuN52T26AdyY3baVE?usp=sharing>
3. Uploaded the 2nd version: We moved the new text (V2) (with already comments from Leighton) in the drive:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1WnTB5vyFMtwHmZhayEmAOQpV4odHSZ_l/edit?usp=sharing&ouid=115914970643802157130&rtpof=true&sd=true This is the new version we invite you all to work in. So the previous folders are just for your archive.

I promised to check / double check that previous suggestions and/or comments (in folder V1) will be inserted in the new text V2.

You are all invited to rework this V2 text. When doing so please:

- Do not take the doc from the drive, so don't download a personal copy since we will all be working in the same doc.
- Make sure you use the setting of making suggestions: when opening the link or the doc in the drive, you can see a pen in your upper right corner (see picture below), if you click on it you see 3 options: choose the 2nd labeled "making suggestions" (or sth alike, mine is in Dutch). The third gives you an idea on how the clean version would look like, the first enables you to make direct changes but they cannot be seen by others.) Your pen should be in green with a line underneath as you can see in this image:



Please do insert newer literature you came across in the last year or so, only published materials can be added in the references. Just link the article in the comments and we can make sure it gets inserted in endnote before sending in.



I will close the doc on Friday next week so we have the weekend to do the last editing & putting all references as they should be.

Thanks a lot for all your efforts, they are highly appreciated!

Kind regards


Walter & Joz


Prof. Dr. JOZ MOTMANS (hij/hem)
Coördinator
Transgender Infopunt





DENK ZORG TOEXPERTISE | WARME ZORG
INNOVATIE | TOPWERKGEVER


e-mail disclaimer : <https://www.uzgent.be/nl/overuz/Paginas/Email-disclaimer.aspx>













[Redacted]


[Redacted]






[Redacted]


[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]









[Redacted]


[Redacted]







[Redacted]

[Redacted]








[Redacted]


[Redacted]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]


[REDACTED]






[Redacted]


[Redacted]






[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]


[Redacted]



[Redacted]


[Redacted]






[Redacted]


[Redacted]




[Redacted]

[Redacted]









[Redacted]

[Redacted]




[Redacted]


[Redacted]





[Redacted]


[Redacted]













[Redacted]


[Redacted]



[Redacted]


[Redacted]






[Redacted]

[Redacted]






--






[Redacted]


[Redacted]



[Redacted]


[Redacted]








[Redacted]

[Redacted]









--

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

