EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

AUGUST DEKKER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIMONE MARSTILLER, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

MOTION OF AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS AND ADDITIONAL NATIONAL AND STATE MEDICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH ORGANIZATIONS FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici curiae hereby move for leave to file the attached brief of amici curiae.

1. Amici curiae are the American Academy of Pediatrics ("AAP"), the Academic Pediatric Association, the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry ("AACAP"), the American Academy of Family Physicians ("AAFP"), the American Academy of Nursing ("AAN"), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists ("ACOG"), the American College of Osteopathic Pediatricians ("ACOP"), the American College of Physicians ("ACP"), the American Medical Association ("AMA"), the American Pediatric Society ("APS"), the American Psychiatric Association ("APA"), the Association of

American Medical Colleges ("AAMC"), the Endocrine Society, the Florida
Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics ("FCAAP"), the National
Association of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners ("NAPNAP"), the North Central
Florida Council of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry ("NORCEF"), the Pediatric
Endocrine Society ("PES"), the Societies for Pediatric Urology ("SPU"), the
Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine ("SAHM"), the Society for Pediatric
Research ("SPR"), the Society of Pediatric Nurses ("SPN"), and the World
Professional Association for Transgender Health ("WPATH") (collectively,
"amici").

- 2. Amici respectfully move for leave to file the attached amicus brief in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction. Amici have met and conferred with the parties in good faith as required by the Local Rules and understand that Plaintiffs consent to, and Defendants oppose, the filing of amici's brief.
- 3. As a group of well-respected medical and mental health organizations, amici seek to offer this Court their scientific views and insights regarding the serious medical condition known as gender dysphoria; the accepted standard of care—known as gender-affirming care—for treating individuals (and particularly adolescents) suffering from gender dysphoria; and the consequences of denying Florida Medicare coverage for important gender-affirming care to such patients as

would be required by Rule 59G-1.010(7) of the Florida Administrative Code (the "Medicaid Ban").

WHEREFORE, *amici* respectfully request an order granting leave to file the attached brief of *amici curiae*.

INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici are a group of 22 professional medical and mental health organizations seeking to ensure that all individuals, including those with gender dysphoria, receive the optimal medical and mental healthcare they need and deserve. Amici include both national and state organizations and represent thousands of health care providers who have specific expertise with the issues raised in the amicus brief.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

By submitting an amicus brief in this matter, *amici* seek to assist this Court on an issue of great importance to many transgender individuals, including adolescents and their families, as well as the medical professionals who treat them: the prevention and treatment of gender dysphoria. *Amici* intend to provide this Court with an empirically grounded view of (i) gender dysphoria; (ii) genderaffirming care, which is the accepted standard of care for treating adolescents at risk of or suffering from gender dysphoria; and (iii) the irreparable harm that would be caused to adolescent patients if the Medicaid Ban is not enjoined. *Amici*

thus fulfill the quintessential role for amici curiae, and courts routinely authorize the filing of amicus briefs in such circumstances. *See, e.g., Shoemaker v. City of Howell*, 795 F.3d 553, 562 (6th Cir. 2015) (describing the "traditional function of an amicus curiae" as "assist[ing] in cases of general public interest by supplementing the efforts of private counsel and by drawing the court's attention to [matters] that might otherwise escape consideration") (internal quotations omitted).

Gender dysphoria is a clinical condition that is marked by distress due to an incongruence between the patient's gender identity (i.e., the innate sense of oneself as being a particular gender) and sex assigned at birth. This incongruence can lead to clinically significant distress and impair functioning in many aspects of the patient's life. The widely accepted recommendation of the medical community, including that of the respected professional organizations participating here as amici, is that the standard of care for treating gender dysphoria is "gender-affirming care."

Because the Medicaid Ban would eliminate coverage for important genderaffirming care, it is antithetical to the mission and values of *amici*, all of whom are
committed to ensuring that all patients, including transgender individuals, receive
the best possible medical care. Drawing on empirical research and *amici's*extensive experience and expertise in their respective fields, the proposed amicus
brief: (i) provides background on gender identity and gender dysphoria; (ii)

describes the professionally-accepted medical guidelines for treating gender dysphoria as they apply to adolescents, and the scientifically rigorous process by which these guidelines were developed; (iii) describes the evidence that suggests the effectiveness of this care for adolescents with gender dysphoria; and (iv) corrects multiple inaccuracies in the basis for the Medicaid Ban, the Division of Florida Medicaid's June 2, 2022 "Generally Accepted Professional Medical Standards Determination on the Treatment of Gender Dysphoria" (the "GAPMS Report").

Courts regularly permit *amici* to file *amicus curiae* briefs to offer their unique expertise and insight on issues of physical and mental health and welfare, including with respect to transgender youth. For example, district courts in two states considering challenges to similar laws targeting gender-affirming care have accepted and cited amicus briefs filed by many of the same organizations that seek to file a brief here. *See, e.g., Brandt v. Rutledge*, 551 F. Supp. 3d 882, 890 (E.D. Ark. 2021), *aff'd sub nom. Brandt by & through Brandt v. Rutledge*, 47 F.4th 661 (8th Cir. 2022) (citing brief and observing "[t]he consensus recommendation of medical organizations is that the only effective treatment for individuals at risk of or suffering from gender dysphoria is to provide gender-affirming care."); *Eknes-Tucker v. Marshall*, 2022 WL 1521889, at *2 (M.D. Ala. May 13, 2022) (citing

brief and observing *amici* "endorse these guidelines as evidence-based methods for treating gender dysphoria in minors."). ¹

Moreover, there is no downside to granting *amici*'s motion for leave to file the amicus brief. Courts have recognized that "it is preferable to err on the side of" permitting amicus briefs. *Neonatology Assocs., P.A. v. Comm'r*, 293 F.3d 128, 133 (3d Cir. 2002) (Alito, J.). This is so because "[i]f an amicus brief that turns out to be unhelpful is filed, the [court], after studying the case, will often be able to make that determination without much trouble and can then simply disregard the amicus brief." *Id.* "On the other hand, if a good brief is rejected, the [court] will be deprived of a resource that might have been of assistance." *Id.*

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, *amici* respectfully request that this Court grant their motion for leave to file their proposed amicus curiae brief (attached hereto) in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction.

leave to file an amicus brief in support of a transgender male student, and noting that "the position of [amici] as to the appropriate standard of care for gender dysphoria is useful to understanding that diagnosis").

¹ See also, e.g., Grimm v. Gloucester Cnty. Sch. Bd., 972 F.3d 586, 594 n.1 (4th Cir. 2020) (crediting "leading medical, public health, and mental health organization[]" amici with helping the court to "develop[] a fact-based understanding of what it means to be transgender"); Adams by Kasper v. Sch. Bd. of St. Johns Cnty., 318 F. Supp. 3d 1293, 1298 n.14 (M.D. Fla. 2018) (granting

Dated: September 27, 2022

D. Jean Veta (pro hac vice forthcoming)
William Isasi (pro hac vice forthcoming)
Emily Mondry (pro hac vice forthcoming)
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter
850 Tenth St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
Phone: (202) 662-6000
jveta@cov.com
wisasi@cov.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

emondry@cov.com

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Cortlin H. Lannin

Cortlin H. Lannin (pro hac vice forthcoming)
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
Salesforce Tower
415 Mission St., Suite 5400
San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone: (415) 591-6000

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT

According to Microsoft Word, the word processing system used to prepare this Motion and Memorandum, there are 403 total words contained within the Motion, and there are 815 words contained within the Memorandum of Law.

/s/ Cortlin H. Lannin
Cortlin H. Lannin

CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION OF ATTORNEY-CONFERENCE REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(B), counsel for *amici* conferred with counsel for the parties on September 22, 2022. Plaintiffs consented to the filing of *amici*'s brief; Defendants oppose the filing.

/s/ Cortlin H. Lannin
Cortlin H. Lannin