		Page 1			
1	IINITED O	TENTES DISTRICT SOLIDE			
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA				
3	CACE NO. 4.22 ~~ 00225 DII MAE				
4	CASE NO. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF				
5	AUGUST DEKKER, et al.,				
6	Plaintiffs,				
7	VS.				
8	SIMONE MARSTILLER, et	al			
9	Defendants	Q1.,			
10	Berendanes				
11					
12	DEPOSITION OF:	JEFFREY ENGLISH			
13	AT THE INSTANCE OF:	THE PLAINTIFF			
14	DATE:	JANUARY 23, 2023			
15	TIME:	COMMENCED: 10:00 A.M.			
16	LOCATION:	AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE			
		ADMINISTRATION			
17		2727 MAHAN DRIVE			
		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32308			
18					
	REPORTED BY:	DANA W. REEVES			
19		Court Reporter and			
		Notary Public in and for			
20		State of Florida at Large			
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

	Page 2
1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	REPRESENTING THE PLAINTIFF:
5	KATY DeBRIERE, ESQ.
	Florida Health Justice Project
6	3900 Richmond Street
	Jacksonville, Florida 32205
7	
8	SIMONE CHRISS, ESQ.
	CHELSEA DUNN, ESQ.
9	Southern Legal Counsel, Inc.
	1229 NW 12th Avenue
10	Gainesville, Florida 32601
11	
12	REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT:
13	GARY V. PERKO, ESQ.
	Holtzman, Vogel, Barantorchinsky & Josefiak
14	119 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500
	Tallahassee, Florida 32301
15	
16	ANDREW SHEERAN, ESQ.
	Florida Agency for Health Care
17	Administration
	2727 Mahan Drive
18	Tallahassee, Florida 32308
19	
20	ALSO APPEARING, VIA TELEPHONE:
21	SHANI RIVAUX, ESQ.
	JENNIFER ALTMAN, ESQ.
22	Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman, LLP
	600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3100
23	Miami, Florida 33131
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	Appearances Cont.:
2	ABIGAIL COURSOLLE, ESQ.
	National Health Law Program
3	3701 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 315
	Los Angeles, California 90010
4	
5	GARY SHAW, ESQ.
	Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman, LLP.
6	1200 17th Street N.W.
	Washington, D.C. 20036
7	
8	OMAR GONZALEZ-PAGAN, ESQ.
	Lambda Legal Defense and Education
9	Fund, Inc.
	120 Wall Street, 19th Floor
10	New York, NY 10005
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 4
1			
2	INDEX TO WITNESS		
3	JEFFREY ENGLISH		PAGE
4	Examinatio	n by Ms. DeBriere	6
5	Examination by Mr. Perko		166
6	Further Examination by Ms. DeBriere		172
7			
8		PLAINTIFF'S INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
9			
10	NO.	DESCRIPTION	MARKED
11	Exhibit 1		7
		GAPMS Rule	19
12		GAPMS Decision Tree Checklist	24
	Exhibit 4	<del>-</del>	48
13	Exhibit 5	•	105
1.4	Exhibit 6		117
14	Exhibit 7		128
15	Exhibit 8	report Fractional exhaled nitric oxide	132
12	EXIIIDIC 9	measurement GAPMS	132
16		determination report	
10	Exhibit 9		134
17		foods GAPMS determination	131
_ ,		report	
18	Exhibit 10	Puberty Supression Therapy GAPMS	140
		determination report	
19	Exhibit 11	Hormone supression therapy in	144
		children GAPMS determination	
20		report	
	Exhibit 12	Cross-sex hormone therapy GAPMS	145
21		determination report	
	Exhibit 13	Cross-sex hormone therapy GAPMS	147
22		determination report	
	Exhibit 14		
23	Exhibit 15		177
0.1		Jeffrey English	
24			
25			
	l		

			Page 5
1			
2		DEFENDANT'S INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
3			
4	NO.	DESCRIPTION	MARKED
5	Exhibit D1	Computer-assisted musculoskeletal	166
		surgical navigational orthopedic	
6		GAPMS	
7	Exhibit D2	Blue Cross Blue Shield of	167
		Rhode Island document	
8			
9			
10			
11	*Uh-uh is a negative response		
	*Uh-huh is a positive response		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

that is on our fee schedule and it is --

- Q Can I stop you there? When you say multiple things checked off, do you mean yes or no?
- A Yes -- well, let me double-check that. Yeah. You know, if something gets checked off as a yes, you know, especially overwhelmingly so, then that would be something that we would, you know, give a really serious consideration of coverage for. And if we looked at it, and it was, you know, potentially experimental investigational, and then that's the GAPMS. And if it's, you know, yes, we should cover this, what -- you know, why don't we have this on our fee schedule kind of thing, then that would be a decision point.
- Q Okay. Does a yes answer to any of these questions imply that a service is not experimental?

  MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to form. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Do answer or --

MR. PERKO: Do answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, through this form, we would discover that it's -- you know, if it's something that's already on the fee schedule that we already covered, then that would -- that would end the process immediately and we would just notify the provider, hey, we already pay for this

2.

2.2

and move on to the next thing.

## BY MS. DeBRIERE::

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q So if it was on AHCA's fee schedule --
- A Then it's not and then someone -- I guess the presumption is that someone or someone somewhere along the way determined that AHCA would cover it, and that it was not a -- you know, it was not experimental investigational.
- Q I'm sorry, Mr. English. Hold on one second.

  Just a real basic question. I see here an email

  address, healthserviceresearch@AHCA.myflorida.com inbox?
- A Yes. That's a -- that's a -- the requesters will send in -- that's the email address to inquire about making a GAPMS request or a coverage request.
  - Q Who can submit a GAPMS request via the email?
  - A Anybody, I believe.
- Q Okay. Other than the three entities you listed that typically trigger a traditional GAPMS --
- A I would think of it other than the weird one with Beth and the bionic pancreas, most of the other requests would come in through health service research, you know, the provider or the manufacturer. And from time to time, you would get a phone call, usually from a salesperson and they'd want to set up a meeting. And they -- you know, they have sort of regional travel

Page 39 schedules, they want to hit you up on their way through. 1 2. But health service research is sort of, I quess, the 3 basic -- getting the process started way of contacting 4 us. 5 To your knowledge, have you ever had a request 0 to initiate come from another state agency? 6 7 I do not -- I'll just point out, again, I inherited a queue and I don't necessarily know where all 8 9 the projects that I inherited originated. 10 So, to your knowledge --11 Α No. 12 And to your knowledge, has a request ever come 13 from a member of the public? 14 I'm unclear how you define that. Α 15 0 Fair. 16 I mean, technically, isn't everyone a member Α 17 of the public? 18 Yes. Absolutely. Have you ever had a request come in from a Medicaid recipient, to your knowledge? 19 20 I can't say for certain. It sounds familiar, but I can't say for certain. And what I might actually 21 22 be remembering is a provider requesting on behalf of Medicaid patient. 23 24 0 Okay. How about request from a political figure? 25

A No. That's bill analysis. That's a -- that's a different -- that's a different task.

- Q Okay. To your knowledge, have you ever not used the decision tree for a traditional GAPMS request?
- A When I first started, you know, but I only have -- it might have been one or two. There was a stretch where I was working with what was already in the queue, and so I don't know that this had been performed for those. I think some of them because I think Chris -- Christina, like, in order to sort of workshop this, we went through and we're like, well, this one would, you know, and this one, but it was pretty much like the newer requests going forward, and then Nick was assigned with backtracking with this, and I don't know if he got every single one in the queue or not, so that's theoretical there are GAPMS that -- for which this was not performed.
- Q After the checklist was developed and it was consistently -- after December of 2020 --
  - A Yes.
- Q -- when traditional GAPMS request was received by AHCA, did you ever not use the checklist?
- A It was part of the -- it was part of the standard process. I can't say for sure that, you know, when we were working from home -- I think I had meetings

2.

2.2

Page 41 with supervisors for them, but I don't know for certain 1 2. that every single request that came in went through that 3 or not. I can't say. You said it was the standard process? 4 5 Α It is. Okay. Is GAPMS ever initiated with respect to 6 7 services that AHCA is covering -- already covering? In my experience, no, that would -- that would 8 Α 9 be determined through the checklist and that would be 10 deemed not a GAPMS. 11 Kind of the same question asked a little 12 differently. Is it ever initiated to assess existing 13 coverage of Medicaid services? 14 Not in my experience. I asked some of these. 15 0 I don't want to ask 16 them again, so I'm going to blow through them real 17 quick. MR. PERKO: Would now be time for a break? 18 19 MS. DeBRIERE: Yeah, let's do it. 20 (Brief recess.) 21 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 2.2 So did you speak to anybody during the break 0 about the deposition? 23 24 I did not. Α Okay. And I just want to go back quickly to 25 Q

what I believe we marked as Exhibit 2. Is that -- no, Exhibit 3, excuse me, which is the GAPMS decision tree checklist. I needed to ask one more question about that. If something was -- so when you receive the request, and you're going through the checklist, if something was on Medicaid's fee schedule, and therefore covered by Florida Medicaid, would you initiate the GAPMS process?

A No.

2.

2.2

Q What types of Medicaid services are assessed using the GAPMS process?

A Treatments, I guess, for lack of a better way for shorthand. Typically, it's -- can I answer the question by giving you an example of GAPMS?

Q Absolutely. You can answer the question however you would like to?

A There's, you know, specially modified low-protein foods for inborn errors of metabolism. There's negative-pressure wound therapy, which is a medical device for wound healing. There's low-intensity pulsed ultrasound, which is a medical device for healing fractures. There's a procedure with sort of a proprietary technology called transcervical fibroid ablation that's kind of a cross between a procedure and the type of bead that's used in the procedure that

Q Who's involved in the -- who was involved in the GAPMS process when you were doing it?

Primarily myself. There was, from time to Α time if we got it -- you know, if I got along in the process and was determining that, you know, this had a potential, that it would be recommending coverage -because everything has to be budget-neutral, we would --I would reach out to Medicaid, the fiscal folks, and they would put together a fiscal analysis of what the cost would be, or any potential cost savings. time to time, not every GAPMS, if I didn't reach out to them, if it was something that it was clear that we weren't going to cover, because the time wasn't -- it's pointless to take up their time. My supervisor -- I had weekly regular weekly meetings with my immediate supervisor, you know, to go over what was in the queue, what was I working on, what was the status.

I frequently had scheduled meetings with the Bureau Chief, but those didn't often come off, but it was understood that, you know, typically, along, you know, the course of time, you know, they would get, you know, an update on what was going on, and if it was one where, you know, I had written it, my supervisor had signed off on it, and then the next step was, you know, to get the bureau chief to sign off on it in order for

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 50 it to go to the Medicaid director. And then Nick --1 2. Nick was doing the checklist. But I mean, it was -- it 3 was kind of a joke with my, you know, with my co-workers, I was kind of like the one-end game. 4 5 Okay. Okay. So can you describe that line of 6 approval. So it started with you. 7 It started with me. I would write a report. I would submit it to either, at the time Christina, or 8 Jesse, whoever was my immediate supervisor. They would 10 review it, they may or may not have some edits to send 11 back, and then it would -- once they had, you know, 12 signed off on it and said, you know, this can advance to 13 the bureau chief, and then, you know, the bureau chief 14 would sign off on it, yay or nay, and then the next step 15 is to go to the Medicaid director. 16 Okay. And who currently is the Medicaid 17 director? 18 Α Tom Wallace. And who's the bureau chief for Medicaid 19 0 20 policy? 21 Α Ann Dalton. 2.2 And I know you just said this, and I 0 23 apologize, but the final decision maker then in the GAPMS process is the Medicaid director. Is that 24 25 correct?

Page 51 1 Yes. I mean, it typically requires his or her Α 2. signature. Is that different from being a decision maker? 3 0 A decision point? 4 Α Yes. 5 No, a decision maker. Sorry. 0 That's linguistics, sort of. I mean, it -- I 6 Α 7 can't reach out to the requester and say yay or nay until Tom has signed or, you know, whoever -- Beth has 8 signed off on the report. 10 Does the Medicaid director review the report 11 and reach an independent conclusion? 12 MR. PERKO: Object to form. You can answer. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 14 15 In the GAPMS process you just described from 16 you to your supervisor, to the bureau chief, to the 17 Medicaid director, does AHCA ever rely on individuals 18 outside the agency in the process? 19 Α Not in my experience, no. 20 How many GAPMS reports are issued per year? Q 21 That's kind of a loaded question. Α I don't mean it to be. 2.2 0 23 Okay. In my -- you know, if I can round up 24 three years of doing GAPMS reports, there were a couple of expedited GAPMS that kind of made it all the way 25

Page 116 1 medical necessity? I've read it before. 3 I have a copy of it. Do you want to see it? 4 Α Sure. 5 MS. DeBRIERE: Sorry. It's on page seven, Gary. And what the witness is reviewing -- I think 6 I needed more coffee at lunch -- what the witness is reviewing is 59G-1.010, and it's the definition 8 9 of medically necessary medical necessity at 2.83 in 10 the policy. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 6 was marked for 13 identification.) BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 14 15 0 Do you know what AHCA uses this definition 16 for? 17 I mean, I've had -- it's been in literature or 18 in, you know, in reference to the GAPMS process. Beyond that, I don't know how its utilized. 19 20 How does it relate to the GAPMS process? Q 21 As I understand it, if a GAPMS is approved, as 2.2 you know, something that Medicaid is going to cover, then it's considered under the blanket definition of 23 24 that term or phrasing. It's been deemed medically 25 necessary, I guess.

Page 117 If what? 1 0 2. Α If it's passed GAPMS. 3 If AHCA determines the service is experimental 0 and will not be covered by Medicaid, would there be any 4 5 reason to determine whether the service is medically necessary under any other portion of the medical 6 necessity definition? That question might come up around the EPSDT 8 Α 9 consideration, but otherwise, I don't know. 10 You don't know or --0 11 I can't -- I don't believe so, you know. Α 12 When the agency decides to exclude a Medicaid 13 service as experimental, does AHCA communicate that information to the public? 14 15 Not in my experience. I've only ever communicated to -- well, I mean, there have been --16 17 there have been requests that have come in that didn't 18 reach the level of a GAPMS, because they didn't even get 19 to that point. It was like, no, we don't cover that, 20 because it's so obvious that we don't cover that. So we 21 would explain to them, you know, these are the things 2.2 when -- we explain the process to them, and these are 23 things -- but, you know, that's kind of the gist of it. 24 So, in your experience, after determining that 0 a service would be excluded as experimental, does AHCA 2.5

Page 118 1 notify the general public? 2. No, we would notify the requester and then 3 move on to the next project. Would AHCA typically publish that decision on 4 0 5 a website? 6 Α Not that I'm aware of, no. 7 Would they provide the general public with the 0 expert reports they relied on during the GAPMS process? 8 9 Α Not that I'm aware of, no. 10 Does AHCA typically draft a press release 0 11 about the conclusion that's reached in GAPMS? 12 Not in my experience, no. Α 13 0 Is the Governor of Florida typically involved in the dissemination of a GAPMS conclusion? 14 15 Α Not that I'm aware of, no. 16 Any other political figures, are they 0 17 typically involved? 18 Α Not that I'm aware of, no. 19 Other state agency heads? Q 20 No. Α 21 Does AHCA publish the exclusion of a service 22 being experimental in a coverage policy or coverage and limitation handbook? 23 If they do, I'm not aware of it. 24 Α 25 0 If through the GAPMS process a service is

Page 133 were with her. We shelved it until we got the results. 1 2. So that -- it's this big study about pregnant women and 3 asthma because the preliminary results were very favorable, and it would have been sort of the -- it 4 5 would have been a very narrow coverage determination, a very narrow call, but if I remember correctly, the 6 results of that study did not pan out. Okay. Looking at this particular GAPMS --8 0 9 Α No. It was managing asthma in pregnancy. 10 Not FMAP. Sorry. 11 Yeah, especially when you're on state plan, 0 12 right. 13 Α Yeah. 14 Let's move to one I know you're familiar with, 15 specially-modified low-protein foods. We'll mark as 16 Exhibit 8 -- 9. 17 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 9 was marked for identification.) 18 19 THE WITNESS: See, this one predates me. 20 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 21 So what happened there? 2.2 Things didn't move forward. So it was 23 basically starting over and starting from scratch. And 24 so the report that I wrote for -- especially I wrote multiple versions of that report -- looks very different 25

Page 134 from that one. 1 Do you remember what organizations on which 3 you relied to write this report? MR. PERKO: He said he didn't write this 4 5 report, counsel. MS. DeBRIERE: I'm sorry. You're right. I 6 7 strike the question. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 8 9 0 Do you remember on what organizations you 10 relied to write your report on specially-modified 11 low-protein foods? 12 Α I know I consulted organizations concerned 13 with inborn errors of metabolism. And the two, we were 14 directing it specifically to one called phenylketonuria, but there's another one called -- something to the 15 16 effect of maple syrup disease, so it was organizations 17 that were focused on those two conditions primarily. 18 Do you remember what organizations those were? Q 19 Off the top of my head, I do not. Α 20 Were you looking -- were you assessing it as Q 21 to children or as to adults? 2.2 Α The way, after discussion with my supervisors, 23 the way we were going about it was the argument sort of 24 dictated that we -- that condition requires children to stay on a very strict low-protein diet. It's a lifelong 25

diet. It's a diet for life. And so what we were able to determine in the research was that, which makes sense, children, you know, when you're a kid, your parent controls your diet, and so you eat what they gave you and parents could keep the children on the diet, but when they started to reach their teenage years, they wanted more autonomy. Nobody wanted to go with their friends to Burger King, while they just sat and had a shake, you know, low-protein, a special shake. And that the research indicated that when children -- in the time of life when people either continue to adhere to the diet or drop off was in their teenage years. So we were targeting under age 21, and with the goal of trying to keep them diet-adherent so that they could progress on to adulthood with good habits and protect their health.

- Q Do you remember if one of the organizations you looked at was the American Academy of Pediatrics, or relied on?
  - A Almost certainly.
  - Q Why are you -- why are you almost certainly?
  - A They're kind of a name brand organization.
- Q Is it one that you find trustworthy in terms of their opinion?
- A I have.
  - O Can you look at this document and tell me if

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Page 136 this is -- the reason I ask is that -- skip to the front 1 2. page, to page three. Do you know if it's complete? 3 you see there's a page number at the corner there. Α Yeah. Yeah, there's -- there should be a page. 4 5 Yeah, there's a page there. 6 You don't think it's a typo? 7 No, it's -- because on the second page, it picks up with, like, mid-paragraph. 8 9 0 Okay. Thank you for that. Were you involved 10 in anything related to the GAPMS for scleral contact 11 lenses? 12 Α I was not. 13 0 So just going over the GAPMS process 14 generally, in summary, to determine whether a service is experimental under GAPMS, you look at professional 15 16 literature. And then the most persuasive professional 17 literature is going to be, that's peer review? 18 Α Ideally, sure. 19 You look at whether other state Medicaid 0 20 programs cover? 21 Α Yes. 2.2 And you look whether health insurance in the 0 23 private market covers? 24 Α Yes. And if the majority of states cover, that's 25 Q

Page 137 going to be in the favor of finding it not experimental? 1 It's hard -- it would be -- make it harder for 3 us to justify that it's experimental. And you look at whether Medicare covers? 4 Q 5 Α Yes. And, again, whether Medicaid covers favors a 6 7 finding of not being experimental? Α Yes. 8 9 MR. PERKO: Object to form. 10 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: And you look at whether evidence-based 11 12 clinical practice guidelines exist? 13 Α Yes. 14 And you look at whether the service is 15 accepted by relevant professional medical organizations? 16 Α Yes. 17 How do you -- would the American Medical Association be considered an organization on which AHCA 18 would rely for GAPMS? 19 20 MR. PERKO: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.2 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: How about the American Psychological 23 Association? 24 25 MR. PERKO: Same objection.

Page 138 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 2. The American Academy of Child and Adolescent 3 Q Psychiatry? 4 5 MR. PERKO: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I am not familiar with that 6 7 organization. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 8 9 Q The American College of Obstetricians and 10 Gynecologists? 11 MR. PERKO: Same objection. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: In the past GAPMS, organizations on which 14 0 you've relied include the American Academy of 15 16 Pediatrics? 17 Α Yes. You undertake a cost analysis for potential 18 Q cost-saving to Florida Medicaid when you're doing GAPMS? 19 20 Α Yeah. I mean, if it's not budget-neutral, 21 it's almost certainly not going to be covered. 2.2 0 You do not typically enlist outside medical 23 experts during the GAPMS process? 24 Α I have not. 2.5 You do not pay outside individuals? Q

Page 139 1 Α I don't. You don't ask outside individuals to write a 2. 0 3 report? Α 4 No. 5 MR. PERKO: Asked and answered, counsel. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 6 7 You do not typically codify your conclusions Q reached during the GAPMS process into rule? 8 9 Α I don't believe so. 10 You do not typically develop a website and slogan to advertise a GAPMS conclusion? 11 12 Α I have not. 13 0 Generally, other agency heads or political figures not involved in the initiation -- are not 14 involved in the initiation of the GAPMS process? 15 16 Not in my experience. Α 17 In disseminating its conclusion? Q 18 No. Α 19 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 10 was marked for 20 identification.) 21 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 2.2 Let's go to Exhibit 10, is the 2016 GAPMS memo, and this is going to be DEF\_000288776 to DEF\_00028 23 24 8785. Are you familiar with this document, Mr. English? 25 Α I am not.

imagine this is a very large agency. Have you been involved in any conversation around AHCA's coverage of cross-sex hormone therapy?

A I am not.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

25

Q Okay. Do you have any idea as to why, even though you were the GAPMS guy during these dates, that you would not be involved in these decisions?

MR. PERKO: Object to form.

Jesse, my supervisor, his version of how -- and I don't know if the same person that wrote the gender dysphoria GAPMS wrote this -- Jesse's explanation for how that author was chosen, he said that it was a meeting between he and Jason and Ann, and Jason had come and asked who they might recommend to write the report, and when my name was brought up, Jesse said no, that he -- I guess he didn't want me working on that. And Ann offered up the actual author, eventual author, and Jesse concurred.

## BY MS. DeBRIERE::

- Q How do you know that this meeting happened?
- A He told me.
- Q Jesse told you?
- 24 A Uh-huh.
  - Q Why did Jesse say no? Did he say to you?

A Yes. He -- I believe his perception of it was that it was -- he said that he didn't want me involved with it. He didn't want to be supervising the person who was, and he didn't think that it was something that I would have been willing to do.

- Q Was he right?
- A Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- O Why?
- A Because my perception was that that particular GAPMS was a conclusion in search of an argument.
  - Q Did Jesse agree with you?
- A You'd have to ask him.
- Q Why don't you think Jesse wanted to supervise the project?
  - A We're all sitting here right now.
- 16 | O Fair.
  - A And on top of that, I mean, he was pretty new in his position, too. He had been promoted after Christina left.
    - Q How long had he been in that position?
  - A Not super, super long. I mean, God, I think Christina was -- actually, I don't know. She left -- one of the December's during the pandemic, but I don't remember. She went out on maternity leave and never came back, and then he ended up filling her position.

Page 150 Could have been 2021, or it could have been 2022. I 1 2. don't honestly recall. 3 Who was the author of the report you're 0 referring to? 4 5 Matt Brackett. Do you know why Mr. Brackett was chosen? 6 0 7 MR. PERKO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Jesse told me that he -- he told 8 9 Jason that Matt would do any assignment that he was 10 given. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 11 12 Had Mr. Brackett ever done a GAPMS memo 0 13 before? 14 Α He had. He was -- he wrote GAPMS prior to my 15 arrival. 16 Why didn't they keep Mr. Brackett in that 17 position? Why did they hire someone new? 18 MR. PERKO: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: When I arrived, Matt was over the -- I believe he was over durable medical 20 21 equipment. And I think, just based on 2.2 conversations he and I had had, there's a kind of a 23 bit of frustration built into the GAPMS position 24 because it's not a priority, you know, outside of a pandemic, even it's just not a priority. And so he 25

was -- you know, he would tell me, you know, look, I didn't get a lot, you know, through either -- it's kind of a thankless job, but it's important, you know, that kind of thing. So it -- I think he wanted to go do -- he's been here -- you know, I don't know how much longer though, at least a little bit, or maybe more than that longer than me, and I think he just wanted to go do something else.

BY MS. DeBRIERE::

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Okay. Why do you think it mattered to Mr. Boucher that you not be a part of the gender dysphoria GAPMS?

MR. PERKO: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: My belief is that he didn't see -- he didn't believe that it would be something that I would -- I would be willing to do and he, I believe, was possibly trying to save himself, a hassle as well.

## BY MS. DeBRIERE::

Q Let's turn back to the email between you and Mr. Cogle, which is Exhibit 5. On the second page, you have a paragraph that starts, if you will, excuse me, I feel obligated to include this information.

A Yes.

Q Are you familiar with what you wrote there?

A I am.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Would you say that's a reason why you didn't want to be involved in the gender dysphoria GAPMS process?

Yes and no, indifferent all at the same time. I mean, part of why this paragraph was written was out of frustration. Again, I was -- you know, my co-worker's, it was the -- you know, we joked I was the GAPMS guy. That report came out. I read the report. was not something I felt like I would have produced and because there were a lot of people around inside the agency and my personal life that thought that I wrote the report, because it said, GAPMS, you know. So I had grown tired of -- you know, and at the same time, it's like, you know, my friends are seeing reports about it on television and things like that, or in the newspaper or whatever, it was a news story, a prominent news story with, you know, debate and politics and all these things, and I was a bit frustrated that that was occurring. And combined with the fact that Dr. Cogle was someone I respect, and I kind of in response to the emotion I'd received in his initial email, I wanted to assure him that that wasn't me.

Q I just want to make the record clear by entering in Exhibit 14. And this exhibit is entitled

Page 153 Florida Medicaid generally accepted professional medical 1 standards determination on the treatment of gender 2. 3 dysphoria. It's dated June 2022. (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 14 was marked for 4 5 identification.) BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 6 7 Is the report we've been talking about that 0 Mr. Brackett authored? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And this is the report that Jesse said you 0 11 would not author, is that correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 0 And it's the report that you did not want to 14 author? 15 Α Correct. I mean, keep in mind, I found out 16 about it after the project already started. And then I went and asked Jesse about it. I was like, you know, 17 18 and I wasn't like, you know, who's doing the GAPMS. I 19 was just like, hey, what's going on, you know. And he 20 explained, you know, how Matt was chosen and why I was 21 not, and I was thankful for that and went from there. 2.2 And you said in your response to my questions 23 about your email to Dr. Cogle that this report did not 24 reflect the level of work that you would do, is that 2.5 correct?

Page 154 Well, that's a -- that's a loaded question. I 1 2. mean, it's a 45-page report, which is very different 3 from the -- what I was dealing with, which was the push for the trend for tighter cleaner, smaller reports that 4 5 took less time to read. What was the --Yeah. Why isn't this GAPMS report on gender 6 7 dysphoria reflective of your work? Α It veers a bit from process. 8 9 In what ways? Q 10 Well, in terms of the quality of the studies Α 11 included, the dismissal, the professional organizations 12 and experts that we had frequently cited before, the 13 length of the report, where it originated from. Where did it originate from? 14 0 15 Α I would say the executive. Came from they 16 said, you know, Secretary Marstiller, she's part of the 17 executive. 18 Anybody else in the executive? Q 19 Oh, sure. Governor. Yeah. Α 20 I cut you off. Q 21 MR. PERKO: I meant to object to form on that 2.2 last question. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 23 24 You said it dismissed the opinions of 0 professional organizations, where it was initiated was 25

off, the length of the report was off. Anything else?

A Keep in mind that the people who prepared the report, or Matt and a guy Ni -- I don't remember Ni's last name -- they were not discreet about what they were working on or why, and it seemed to be impacting morale a little bit among some co-workers, and it was kind of an immature sort of approach or attitude or something to it that was off-putting a bit, I suppose, for folks.

Q Are folks in the agency generally aware of things that GAPMS is working on?

A Frankly, most people don't really care or pay attention. You know, everyone has -- just the way everything's set up here, you, you know, everyone has their own little corner of the piece of the puzzle of Medicaid, and it's a big learning curve for everything, and so you want to focus on your little piece of the puzzle and try and grow your puzzle into, you know, understanding how it fits into the main thing. Certain topics sometimes, I had to do one on transanal irrigation, and I caught a lot of grief from some of my co-workers on that one, you know, silly stuff, you know, office banter, that kind of thing, but that one was -- it was just kind of altogether a different thing.

- Q You described it as immature.
- A Well, certain behavior was.

2.

0 What?

2.

2.2

A There was a -- I don't remember the person's name. I was told that they were a trans person. I knew him as this guy who had an office nearby Matt and I, and it was after the report had come out, I believe, and they were, like, kind of whooping it up, yelling back and forth across the hallway, because about -- like the number of views it was getting on Twitter and things like that. And so that employee had to get up and go over and tell them, you know, look, it's -- you know, congratulations on your report, but I feel like you're being somewhat insensitive. And, you know, that was awkward.

- Q Yeah. You mentioned that Mr. Brackett was not in -- Mr. Chen -- Dr. Chen?
  - A He's -- I think he's pharmacist, yeah.
- Q Mr. Brackett and Mr. Chen were not discreet about it, what they were working on. How did they characterize what they were working on?
- A Just what the topic was. It was actually -- Ni's the one that told me that -- he's who told me that it was -- I was wholly unaware of the assignment, and Ni's the one that told me about the assignment.
- Q Is this the first time you've ever been -- since being the GAPMS guy, was the first time you'd ever

Page 157 been excluded from the GAPMS process? 1 2. Well, I mean, this other one here predates the publication of that one, but --3 And that --4 0 5 -- in April, and this one probably began in April or March or something like that. So, yeah, 6 7 whichever. The chicken or the egg, whichever one came first. I was unaware of both of those. 8 9 Q The title that you were just referencing that is Exhibit 13, I think? Is that right? 10 11 Yes. Α 12 And do you think that report was a precursor 13 to the Exhibit 14? 14 MR. PERKO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know. 15 16 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 17 How many Medicaid services does this GAPMS Q 18 memo Exhibit 14 analyze, do you know? 19 Α Maybe three. 20 Is that typical? Q 21 No. Well -- I mean, no, I've looked at GAPMS 2.2 where it was two devices, two different devices at the 23 same time, but never like two different treatments, same 24 time. Do you know why AHCA used that approach here? 25 Q

Page 158 1 Α I do not. 2. Would you recommend that approach in a GAPMS 3 process? I can't outright say I would or would not. It 4 5 would depend on the circumstance and how closely related I perceive the procedures or services to be. 6 7 Do you know if this is supposed to apply to children or adults or both? 8 9 My understanding is both, or to children 10 and -- most of the discussion has been around children. 11 Children. 12 So you don't -- having reviewed this, you can't say? 13 14 I don't recall. I mean, I read it back in, like, June. 15 16 O Okay? 17 MR. PERKO: About ready for a break, counsel? MS. DeBRIERE: Mr. English, do you think you 18 19 can do like 10 more minutes? 20 THE WITNESS: I can do whatever's good for the 21 order. 2.2 MS. DeBRIERE: Is that okay, Gary? 23 MR. PERKO: Yeah. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 24 2.5 Do you know if AHCA enlisted outside medical 0

Page 159 experts to do a literature review for this report? 1 Α That's my understanding. Is that typical for GAPMS? 3 0 Not in my experience. 4 Α 5 Do you know if they paid these professionals 0 6 to do the report? 7 Α My understanding is they did. Is that typical? 8 0 Α Not in my experience. 10 Do you know why AHCA used that approach here? 0 11 I do not. Α 12 Have you ever -- I'm sorry. Did they attach 13 the expert reports to the final GAPMS report? Did AHCA 14 attach the expert reports to the final GAPMS report? 15 Α I don't know if I saw, like, a copy with 16 attachments or if it's -- I don't recall if it was 17 referenced or included in their report like -- but I 18 remember seeing those when I was looking at it, you 19 know? 20 Is that typical? Q Well, no, I mean, I've never had outside 21 2.2 reports to attach to it, were included with the GAPMS. 23 When you mentioned that -- one issue you took 0 with the report is they dismissed professional 24 organizations' opinions. Would those professional 25

Page 160 organizations include the Endocrine Society's position? 1 2. MR. PERKO: Object to form. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 3 If you don't remember, that's okay. 4 0 5 I know who the Endocrine -- who they are. 6 would be hard-pressed to envision a scenario where I would second-guess them -- and without, you know, really, really good cause. 8 Q What about the American Academy of Pediatrics? MR. PERKO: Object to form. 10 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 13 0 No, you --I would be deferential to their 14 15 recommendations. 16 Are you aware of the coverage of the treatment 17 for gender dysphoria under other Medicaid programs? 18 Α I want to say that things could have changed 19 because I haven't really looked at some of that stuff 20 since last year. 21 Why were you looking at it last year? 2.2 Α When I --Go ahead. 23 0 If I recall, it's somewhere between maybe 30 24 Α and 40 states or something that provide coverage for it. 25

Page 161 When you undertake GAPMS, how would that 1 2. factor into your ultimate conclusion? If it were 30 states, that would -- it could 3 be a factor. If it were 40 states or more, it would 4 5 be -- it'd be harder to dismiss. It's something that my supervisor would have been making an inquiry about if I 6 were recommending against coverage. Because that many states covering indicates 8 0 that it's not experimental? 10 MR. PERKO: Form. THE WITNESS: It indicates that there is 11 12 existing widespread coverage for it. 13 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: How does that factor into whether the service 14 0 15 is experimental? 16 MR. PERKO: Form. 17 THE WITNESS: It makes an argument for coverage 18 for something easier to make, assuming that they 19 meet the threshold on all the other categories, you 20 know, then that's, you know --21 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 2.2 0 Do you know if they did a decision tree checklist for the services listed in the June 2022 memo? 23 24 Α I do not. Do you know if AHCA undertook an Analysis 2.5 0

Page 162 of -- to determine how excluding coverage of treatment 1 2. for gender dysphoria would affect the Florida Medicaid 3 budget? Α I do not. 4 5 Does anything else stand out to you about this memo that we haven't discussed? 6 7 MR. PERKO: Object to form. THE WITNESS: It's frankly unlike anything I've 8 9 experienced in the process, but I mean, just the 10 sort of -- you know, we're all sitting here, the 11 publicity about it, everything that sort of comes with it. It's unusual, in my limited time here. 12 13 BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 14 Do you agree with the conclusion? 0 15 MR. PERKO: Object to form. 16 THE WITNESS: I think it's two different 17 issues. BY MS. DeBRIERE:: 18 19 0 Yeah. 20 I'm not sure that it matters what I believe 21 about the question of whether or not Florida Medicaid 2.2 should pay for transgender services. I view it as a 23 process issue, and I believe that everyone should have the same -- the same opportunity for review and a 24 25 consistent process.

Page 163 Was this consistent with the other 1 2. opportunities people have had for review of a Medicaid service? 3 I do not -- I do not believe it was. 4 5 Do you know how AHCA implemented the conclusions found in this memo? 6 7 I do not. I know they had to write a rule, and I know they had a hearing. That's all I know. 8 9 Q Have they talked to you about implementation 10 regarding state amendment at all? 11 They have not. Α 12 Throughout this deposition, I got the sense 13 that you were really good at your job, as the GAPMS guy. It's not for me to say. I feel like I put 14 Α forth some effort. 15 16 Yeah, and you got a certificate for doing one 17 in eight hours. Just a couple of friends, but I think my 18 Α performance is reflected in my performance reviews. 19 20 Yeah. And why do you think they moved you 21 from GAPMS to the state plan? 2.2 Α I asked to be moved. 23 Okay. Why did you ask to be moved? 24 Because I felt like the GAPMS process had lost Α some integrity and I didn't want to be associated with 25

Page 164 it. I didn't want the blowback from the requesters out 1 2. there who were going to wonder why their report 3 wasn't -- I mean, every month it got harder and harder and harder to justify those reports not moving. And I 4 5 was just, you know, kind of burned out. If you're in a position where you're working on something and they tell 6 7 you, you know, slow down and stop, you know, then let's go learn something else. And, honestly, I thought 8 leaving would protect me from some of this. 10 You had mentioned that they had to adopt a 11 rule to implement this decision. Is that typical of a 12 conclusion reached through the GAPMS process? 13 Α Not that I'm aware of. 14 The same question with having a hearing. 15 that something typically related to a conclusion in the 16 GAPMS process? 17 Α Not that I'm aware of. 18 Has it ever been done, that you're aware of, Q for any GAPMS conclusions? 19 I was never asked to attend a rule hearing or 20 Α 21 anything related to any of the GAPMS I worked on. 2.2 not that I'm aware of. 23 MS. DeBRIERE: Are you okay with taking like a 10 minute break? 24 25 THE WITNESS: Sure.