IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA Tallahassee Division

JANE DOE, individually and on behalf of her minor daughter, SUSAN DOE, et al.,

Civil No. 4:23-cv-00114-RH-MAF

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH A. LADAPO, in his official capacity as Florida's Surgeon General of the Florida Department of Health, et al.,

Defendants.

<u>PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY</u> <u>TO DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND</u> <u>MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION</u>

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 27(a)(4) and Local Rule 7.1(I), Plaintiffs Lucien Hamel, Olivia Noel, Kai Pope, and Rebecca Cruz Evia hereby move for leave to file a short Reply, in conformity to Local Rule 7.1, to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Second Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF 127). Plaintiffs are prepared to file their reply as early as end of the day on August 9 or upon grant of this motion. Plaintiffs believe the Court will be benefited by a Reply particularly given that Defendants' Opposition is the first filing in which Defendants have stated justifications for the restrictions on medical care for transgender adults.

For example, Defendants raise for the first time justifications related to the

"physical presence requirement" (ECF 127, at 16-18), the "information in the informed-consent forms" (ECF 127, at 18-24), the "recurring mental-health evaluation" (ECF 127, at 26-27), and the "physician-as-prescriber requirement" (ECF 127, at 27-28). Further, Defendants' Response relies heavily on *L.W. v. Skrmetti*, 73 F. 4th 408 (6th Cir. 2023), citing to the case no less than a dozen times. Plaintiffs seek to address the newly raised justifications and to distinguish the out of circuit case in their Reply.

Plaintiffs conferred with counsel for Defendants regarding their position on this matter on August 9, 2023. Defendants do not oppose the filing of the Reply.

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant their motion for leave to file a Reply memorandum to Defendants' Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Second Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of August, 2023.

<u>/s/ Simone Chriss</u> Counsel for Plaintiffs

SOUTHERN LEGAL COUNSEL

By: /s/ Simone Chriss

Simone Chriss Florida Bar No. 124062 Chelsea Dunn Florida Bar No. 1013541 1229 NW 12th Avenue Gainesville, FL 32601 (352) 271-8890 Simone.Chriss@southernlegal.org Chelsea.Dunn@southernlegal.org

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP

Thomas E. Redburn, Jr.* NY Bar No. 5822036 Maya Ginsburg* NY Bar No. 5128152 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 (212) 262-6700 tredburn@lowenstein.com mginsburg@lowenstein.com

NATIONAL CENTER FOR LESBIAN RIGHTS

Christopher F. Stoll * CA Bar No. 179046 Kelly Jo Popkin* NY Bar No. 5698220 National Center for Lesbian Rights 870 Market Street, Suite 370 San Francisco, CA 94102 Tel. 415-365-1320 cstoll@nclrights.org kpopkin@nclrights.org

GLBTQ LEGAL ADVOCATES & DEFENDERS

Jennifer Levi* Chris Erchull*

18 Tremont, Suite 950 Boston, MA 02108 (617) 426-1350 jlevi@glad.org cerchull@glad.org

* Admitted by pro hac vice

Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(F), the undersigned counsel certifies that, according to Microsoft Word, the word-processing system used to prepare this Motion, there are 283 total words contained within the Motion.

/s/ Simone Chriss

CERTIFICATE OF SATISFATION OF ATTORNEY CONFERENCE REOUIREMENT

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(B), counsel for the Plaintiffs conferred with counsel for the Defendants on August 9, 2023. Counsel for Defendants indicated that Defendants do not oppose the relief sought.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on August 9, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system.

<u>/s/ Simone Chriss</u> Simone Chriss